A Manifesto in Defense of Democracy and the Rule of Law in the Age of "Artificial Intelligence"

Transatlantic Reflection Group on Democracy and the Rule of Law in the Age of "Artificial Intelligence"

14 June 2021

[Link to Sign and Comment **HERE**]

Advanced software and cyber-physical systems, so called "Artificial Intelligence" (AI) systems, and data driven business models increasingly govern portions of our lives: they influence how we work, love, buy, sell, communicate, meet, and navigate. They impact individual rights, social interactions, the economy, and politics. They pose new risks to national security, democratic institutions, individual dignity and human wellbeing.

In recognition of these challenges we, a group of scientists and stakeholders from both sides of the Atlantic, warn that technological solutionism should not replace democracy, that the primacy of democracy must be re-affirmed and that citizens must be re-empowered through institutions of countervailing power. Without immediate action, democracy runs the risk of faltering in the face of increasingly dominant Al-enabled platforms and inadequate governance structures.

Citizens and their elected, accountable representatives still lack the institutional means to govern these technologies and to hold their developers and providers accountable. The ubiquitous, pervasive, and often invasive, providers of these technologies have used their concentrated economic power to shield themselves from meaningful independent oversight. They work with unique power dynamics, including the 'winners take all' effects and a race for a limited pool of talent. Digitization has led to the emergence of what we call hereafter private corporate hegemons. The challenges to both individual rights and democratic institutions by the power they wield include unaccountable governance of communication (controlling who and what gets heard in the public square), allowing the spread of mis- and dis-information, mass surveillance, and cyber-vulnerabilities and threats. Meeting these challenges requires more than just incremental legal adjustments on both sides of the Atlantic.

Governments worldwide desire to reap the economic benefits of technologies provided by the hegemons, while at the same time aiming to constrain their power. A fundamental mismatch exists, however, between the pace at which innovative yet destabilizing digital applications can be deployed and the pace, as well as rigor, with which norms, standards and regulations are put in place. To complicate matters, traditional narratives around competition, security, and unfettered innovation undermine the adoption of proper constraints. This creates unhealthy

degrees of freedom for hegemons that drive a trajectory of the digital and technological revolution toward unprecedented forms of surveillance capitalism and strategic instability. Governments, stakeholders and citizens on both sides of the Atlantic have therefore rightfully expressed concern that this situation will continue to fragment the societies for which they hold responsibility, weaken democracy and the rule of law, as well as compromise fundamental human and constitutional rights.

In light of these challenges, we have come together in an interdisciplinary *Transatlantic Reflection Group on Democracy and the Rule of Law in the Age of "Artificial Intelligence"* to address the systemic challenges to democracy emanating from monopolies and centralised governance of AI. We believe democracy and the rule of law themselves are at stake and we share reflections on the principles that should govern how these challenges are to be addressed.

Technological solutionism should not replace democracy

Without historic, radical reform, citizens and their elected representatives will be disempowered and lose the means for effective self-governance. The promise of future technologies delivering economic growth cannot justify today's erosion of democratic norms, fundamental rights, and the rule of law. Neither should the vulnerability and monopolization of digitized systems jeopardize the peaceful cooperation of states.

Unregulated technological deployment will exacerbate inequalities and undermine trust. The short-term benefits could be far outweighed by longer-term risks and undesirable societal as well as political consequences.

The challenges to institutions, laws, and democratic processes — combined with the litany of claims that unregulated business interests can better address global challenges than democracy— have weakened trust in democracy and played into the hands of authoritarianism.

In this situation, there is a need to reaffirm that democratically established laws, by democratically elected and accountable representatives, are the noble and legitimate expressions of the people. To preserve these fundamental principles, radical reform is needed.

Affirming the primacy of democracy

All cuts to the heart of how we live. Decisions on how to govern such systems, their data and process oversight must not be decided by economic players who continue to overwhelm policy makers with demands to be either left alone or given special treatment.

Rather than stand by as witnesses to the erosion of democracy, we call for policy processes that empower citizens and guarantee vibrant, reflective, and free societies, where citizens and regions can have *true* influence. Our societies must be based on horizontal and vertical divisions

of power, and improved checks and balances to safeguard against monopolistic concentration and abuse, such as the abuse of democracy through regulatory capture.

The rules must go beyond technology-specific regulation, or the regulation of business practices. Like the technological and economic developments they are intended to address, they, by their nature, will influence how humans interact with each other and with their civic institutions, how democracy and markets function and how people live. Done well, however, they will ensure the rule of law curtails overreaches of power, by private or governmental actors.

These laws must serve the public interest and in doing so they may well be asymmetrical by creating stronger obligations that bind the big and powerful. To ensure that citizens are democratically empowered and can trust the legislative process at the local, national, and supranational level, it is important that the law-making be accessible, clear and transparent and that the laws are not only enacted but also enforced.

Empowering citizens through institutions of countervailing power

Democracies must foster and strengthen countervailing powers and the type of checks and balances necessary to control power in the age of AI. Countervailing powers can arise from scalable new technologies and business models on the one hand, and from effective and enforceable legislation on the other. Democracy must preserve space for both.

As a starting point, democracies must protect individuals and political systems from both governmental and non-governmental abuses of power facilitated by the targeted use of predictive technologies and personal data collection. Democracies must also prevent the weakening of local entrepreneurial activity through killer acquisitions and other anti-competitive behaviours.

Citizens and their elected representatives will be capable of responding to the challenges posed by the new technologies and new economic dynamics only if they are equipped with sound information about the real effectiveness and impact of the technologies. To that end, policymakers should address the need for an evidence-based public policy dialogue and to empower citizens and civil society to meaningfully participate. They should also encourage US-European cooperation in the development of AI benchmarking protocols in order to promote values-driven, evidence-based policy cooperation.

Where massive computing systems can effectively regulate human behaviour or dictate government behaviour, it is important that our societies preserve and strengthen the democratic accountability of policy actors and demand that those actors defend the public interest and work together to develop policies to avoid capture by private economic interests. Governments and legislators must equip themselves with their own, state of the art science and technology impact assessment capabilities and share the results of any such assessments with the public. That should help to empower citizens and make them and their representatives less

dependent on the sometimes incomplete or false information provided by corporations on technological capabilities, risks, and solutions.

Countervailing power can also come from governmental authorities (e.g., consumer protection, data protection, or competition authorities), non-governmental civic institutions (e.g., unions, non-governmental organisations, civil society, academia, and the free press), and citizens themselves. That power can be effectively exercised, however, only if steps are taken to ensure that providers of technological products and services are held democratically accountable, that mechanisms exist for citizens to assert their basic human and civil rights against economically more powerful actors, and that the public is well informed about both the benefits and the risks presented by the emerging technologies and business models.

Universities, media, and civil society should be empowered to renew and strengthen their commitment to supporting the exercise of reason, inquiry for truth and informed opinion. The freedom of academics and civil society to criticize state and corporate conduct must be protected.

Building bridges between technologists and policy communities

Independent technology experts are needed as participants in reliably inclusive democratic processes protected from private economic interests. While the number and importance of scientists and engineers in our societies has increased, their participation in public policy and formal democratic fora, such as parliaments, has declined. On the one hand, engineers and scientists should engage more in formal democratic decision-making institutions, on the other hand political and democratic actors and institutions must build bridges for meaningful and visible engagement. Independent experts informing, training and collaborating with policy and decision makers must fill gaps in how governments understand technology and familiarise technologists and scientists with the specificities and complexity of decision-making in participatory democracies.

A broad array of perspectives are needed to formulate effective measures for understanding and mitigating the risks posed by advanced technologies. The role of technology as part of the proper functioning of our democracies should be informed by diverse and multidisciplinary stakeholders, from philosophers, youth representatives and labour unions to the impacted communities themselves.

Joining forces: Jointly defending democracy across the Atlantic

The survival of democracy on both sides of the Atlantic requires that American and European governments demonstrate their ability to act decisively and deliver efficiently in the face of great challenges. Without claiming perfection as to their democratic practices, public authorities and legislators in Europe and the Americas should join forces to support a new

upward dynamic in order to develop effective legislative frameworks to address the challenges outlined here. It may be only through such a co-operation and partnership that they are able to acquire the strength and reach needed to protect and empower the individual and defend democratic values against the hegemonial power of tech corporations.

With coherence across European and transatlantic jurisdictions, laws will have greater scale and will be more effective at addressing these challenges. Societies need not suffer from a competitive race to the bottom on standards for public life and protection of fundamental rights caused by free riding, forum shopping, and the exploitation of international tensions.

The fast pace of technological innovation and the economic success of the platform economy must not slow or erode the democratic process nor disempower individual human beings. While public institutions will need to reform to remain at the forefront of emerging technologies, this will be of little use if basic principles of self-governance are not maintained and protected through appropriate regulatory mechanisms and rigorously enforced.

Democratic deliberation to develop consensus, as well as the human ability to re-interpret legal norms in consideration of new technologies and new economic conditions are strengths, not weaknesses. A stable legal environment is crucial for accountability and certainty: while there is no doubt that legislation must evolve over time, democratic lawmakers should not be expected to publish and amend laws as frequently as software developers code, nor would this make for good law.

Technology regulation must not focus narrowly on zeitgeist trends. In contrast, technology-neutral laws, which are drafted in open language and without reliance on buzzwords enable re-interpretation and will remain relevant as technologies and business models evolve. They must start with core values and principles and focus on what is needed to protect and advance those values and principles. The process of filling in the details should be left to delegated legislation, transparent standard setting processes, and bodies responsible for enforcement.

We ask that US and European leadership remain committed to coherent laws, the primacy of the public interest, and the shaping of the digital economy through democracy on both sides of the Atlantic. We call on decision makers to remember the crucial importance of transatlantic coherence for reasons of both transatlantic democratic accountability and the rapidly advancing global competition. What is needed now are well-designed legal frameworks and strong institutions, which empower and enfranchise citizens and serve the common interest of people in Europe, the US, and beyond, and ensure:

FAIR COMPETITION AND TAXATION

1. Competition law: Review competition rules to enable antitrust authorities to better pre-empt and tackle anticompetitive behaviour, including acquisitions of emerging competitors and the appropriation of innovative ideas by dominant incumbents, while reducing barriers to entry-

Explore transatlantic regulatory cooperation to overcome the territorial market segmentation that ultimately favours transnationally operating digital corporations.

- **2. Upskilling of supervisory authorities**: Give supervisory authorities the mandate, skills and resources needed to understand, oversee, and address how AI affects their respective domains.
- **3. Address tax 'free riding'**: Ensure that those who benefit from the digital economy the most contribute financially to sustain core functions of democracy and public infrastructure, and pay for the undesirable societal impact of their technologies, through taxes where profit is generated.

DATA GOVERNANCE

- **4. Data quality:** Ensure that data used to train AI systems with potentially major impacts, is governed by legal frameworks that incorporate proper quality requirements, including reliability of testing and verification.
- **5. Behavioural and biometric data:** Ensure that behavioural and biometric data does not serve the training of AI systems capable of manipulation, discrimination and disinformation, in particular with regard to biometric profiling and emotion recognition technologies
- **6. Data access**: Ensure access to and use of data which is in the public interest, provided this does not interfere with human rights. Such access should also be ensured between services, especially when data and computation capabilities are held by companies, which calls for private and public open data policies and protocols that support interoperability as well as data portability.
- **7. Transatlantic data flows**: Create conditions of trust enabling transatlantic data flows based on the fundamental rights to data protection and privacy; create mechanisms of mutual protection and respect firmly grounded in 'effective and practical protection' within the relevant jurisdictions.

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

- **8. Human rights and dignity**: Establish practical and effective protection of human rights and liberties such as human dignity, non-discrimination, the presumption of innocence, due process, and the protection of children's (under age 18) rights
- **9. AI Safety**: Put in place regulatory frameworks promoting AI governance, transparency, robustness, and cybersecurity, update legislation to tackle unacceptable cases of algorithmic discrimination and limit corporations' ability to escape liability for their AI systems.
- **10. Transparency and access**: Supervisory authorities need access to government and corporate infrastructure, processes, and ecosystems, including algorithms and databases, and policies, to

ensure adequate oversight and accountability. This should not be prohibited in the name of either governmental or corporate secrecy.

INDEPENDENCE AND HUMAN AGENCY

- **11. Free press and academia**: Provide a framework for a vibrant, independent press and funding to foster independent academic and civil society organisations and empowering them to scrutinise and investigate the impacts, abuses, and misuses of emerging technologies.
- **12. Values-based technology design**: Ensure all types of processes that include automated decision making and AI operate according to principles of responsibility and accountability, transparency, explainability, respect for human dignity and meaningful control. These values, the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights must be protected *by design throughout the life cycle of the advanced software and cyber-physical systems*.
- **13. Evidence-based decision-making and assessment**: Address the need for the public policy dialogue to be evidence-based and for citizens and civil society to be empowered to participate meaningfully in this dialogue. Establish regular open AI benchmarks intended to soundly assess and report on the extent to which AI-enabled systems comply with the values set out above. Encourage the US, Europe, and other interested parties to cooperate in the development of any such benchmarking protocols.

NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL SECURITY

- **14. Risk monitoring and mitigation:** Ensure that emerging technologies, including those developed in the private sector, do not undermine national security or international peace in unforeseen ways. Such risks must be continuously monitored by supervisory authorities and addressed in an anticipatory manner.
- **15. National security**: Ensure public oversight over AI systems to keep them safe and secure. Identify mechanisms and instruments to better integrate safety, security and economic considerations in regulatory policy.
- **16. AI in the military**: Leverage towards a comprehensive treaty-based mechanism on the use of autonomous decision-making systems and AI; especially for military purposes.
- **17. Fighting digital authoritarianism**: Scale multilateral engagement on technical norms and standards to defend against digital authoritarianism and providing positive alternatives to authoritarian digital and physical entanglements by supporting bottom-up, self-determined digital development strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Contributors participated in their personal capacity. The views expressed do not necessarily reflect the views of their employers or organisations they might be associated with. The signatories support the general gist of the statement, without necessarily agreeing to the details of every formulation.

CONTRIBUTORS to the *Transatlantic Reflection Group on Democracy and the Rule of Law in the Age of "Artificial Intelligence" are,* in alphabetical order: Cathryn Clüver Ashbrook, Nicolas Economou, Dr. Bruce Hedin, Lawrence Lessig, Dr. Mireille Hildebrandt, Dr. Ing. Konstantinos Karachalios, Anja Kaspersen, Paul Nemitz, Marietje Schaake, Dr. Sarah Spiekermann, Alex Stamos, Thomas Streinz, Wendell Wallach

SIGNATORIES (AS OF SEPTEMBER 15 2022):

Should you wish to become a co-signatory of this call to action, please fill out the form here.

Dr. Greg Adamson

Associate Professor (honorary), School of Computing and Information Systems, The University of Melbourne

Luis Antonio Aguilar Sánchez

Subdirector de Área, Instituto Federal de Defensoría Pública

Luis Gabriel Aguilar Virgen

Zakaria Al Shmaly

Researcher, European University Institute

István Alföldi

María del Carmen Patricia Álvarez Sánchez

Profesora de la Facultad de Derecho, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Sheila Ethel Arenas Soto

Juez Civil Subespecialidad Comercial de Lima, Poder Judicial del Perú

Jakob Asslaender

Assistent Professor, New York University School of Medicine

Ayca Atabey

Edinburgh University

Maik Bendfeldt

Virginia Blanton

Head of Legal and Operations, Fathom Radiant PBC

Franziska Boehm

Vice President of Intellectual Property Science, Leibniz Institute for Information Infrastructure Professor of IP Rights, Karlsruhe Institute for Technology

Luiz Botelho

Botelho & Global Associates

Wolfgang Brandl

Head of Project Management

François Bry

Professor, Institute for Informatics Ludwig-Maximilian University of Munich

Manfred Bürger

Former leader of the Nuclear Reactor Safety Department at IKE Inst. Nuclear Energy, Univ. Stuttgart

Fernando Carvalho Gomes

Professor of Artificial Intelligence, Federal University, Fortaleza

Natalia Yadira Castilla Caro

Relatora en el Consejo, Estado en Colombia

Karine Caunes

Global Program Director, Center for AI and Digital Policy (CAIDP)

Editor-in-Chief, European Law Journal

Dr. Raja Chatila

Professor of Robotics and Ethics, Pierre and Marie Curie University, Paris

Director, Institute of Intelligent Systems and Robotics (ISIR)

Lingstone Chiume

Lead Data Manager, Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust

Lord Timothy Clement-Jones

Mark Coeckelbergh

Professor, Department of Philosophy, University of Vienna

Ulises Cortés

Professor in AI, Universitat Ploitècnica de Catalunya

Samuel Curtis

The Future Society

Giovanni De Gregorio

Centre for Socio-Legal Studies, University of Oxford

Patricia de Valle

Magistrado, Corte El Salvador

Ewald Dietrich

Qualified Gestalt Therapist

Paul Drescher

Web de Cologne

Petra Droste

Managing Director, Career Center University of Bremen

Theo Eberhard

Prof. Dr. at Munich University of Applied Sciences | HM · Department of Tourism

Nicolas Economou

Chair, Law Committee, IEEE Global Initiative on the Ethics of A/I Systems Chair, Law, Science, and Society Initiative, The Future Society

Principal Coordinator, The Athens Roundtable on AI and the Rule of Law

Shirin Elahi

Director, Corset Economy

Elisa Elhadj

Researcher, CAIDP

Muri Eren

Freelance Journalist

Paniagua Esther

Freelance Technology Journalist, Lecturer and Author

Anthony Giddens, Baron Giddens MAE

Member of the House of Lords

Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, UK

Nakili Fitzwanga

Independent Public Policy Professional

Martin Gak

Ethics and Religious Affairs Correspondent, Deutsche Welle News

Gerhard Gayer

Head, Information Security Unit, Vita Applications | BGHM

Tilman Gerber

Sabine Gillessen

Gillessen - Digital Strategies & Communicating Politics

Marco Giraudo

Postdoctorate Researcher, Collegio Carlo Alberto, University of Turin

Louis Gitinywa

Former Public Prosecutor, Rwanda National Public Prosecution Authority Full-time

Jean-Claude Goldenstein

CREOpoint

Miguel Gonzalez-Mendoza

Professor Researcher, Tecnologico de Monterrey

Dr. Inge Govaere

Professor of EU Law and Director of G.E.L.I., Ghent University (Belgium)

Director of the European Legal Studies Department, College of Europe, Bruges

Dr. Jan Peter Grevel

Koordination "Ethik und Theologie im Evangelischen Oberkirchenrat" der Evangelischen Landeskirche in Württemberg, Goethe University Frankfurt

Elisavet Grigoriou

Director, Sidroco Holdings

Raghavendra Gururaj

Self-employed

Max Haarich

Republic od Užupis

Hendrik Haase

Publicist, Consultant & Communication Designer | Self-employed

William Hamilton

Senior Legal Skills Professor

Dr. Bruce Hedin

Principal Scientist, H5

Merve Hickok

Founder, Alethicist.org

Prof. Mireille Hildebrandt

Research Professor of 'Interfacing Law and Technology', Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Professor of 'Smart Environments and the Rule of Law', Radboud University in the Netherlands

Cyrus Hodes

Partner, FoundersX Ventures

Chris Jay Hoofnagle

Professor of Law in Residence, UC Berkeley

Jeroen van den Hoven

Professor, Ethics and Technology, Delft University of Technology

Donjete Hoxha

Student, University of Vienna

Valentine Idaewor

Founder, Open Leadership

Niki Iliadis

Director of AI and the Rule of Law, The Future Society

Maja Bogataj Jančič, LL.M.,

Head of Intellectual Property Institute, Slovenia

Data Governance Working Group Co-Chair, Global Partnership on Al

Eva Kaili

Member of the European Parliament S&D Hellenic Delegation

Chair for Science & Tech #STOA #C4AI

Mantalena Kaili

Executive Director, ELONTech - European Law Observatory on New Technologies

Dr. Ing. Konstantinos Karachalios

Mert Kasurka

Wolfgang Keck

Senior Advisor, Digital Society

Leyla Keser

Professor, Director of IT Law Institute, Istanbul Bilgi University

Gezahgn Berhie Kidanu

Lecturer, Department of Journalism and Communication, Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia

Mauritz Kop

Fellow, Stanford University

Member, European Al Alliance

Founder, AIRecht.nl

Bilgehan Korucuoğlu

Istanbul Bilgi University

Alex Kotran

Co-Founder, The AI Education Project

Advisor, The Future Society

Baroness Beeban Kidron OBE

Member of the U.K. House of Lords

Democracy and Digital Technologies Committee, U.K. Parliament

Commissioner, UNESCO's Broadband Commission for Sustainable Development

Member, UNESCO Working Group on Child Online Safety

Robert Kroplewski

Solicitor, Kroplewski Law Office

Plenipotentiary of Minister of Digital Affairs for Information Society, Poland

Jann-Luca Künssberg

Journalist

Dr. Ralf Lankau

Professor, University of Applied Sciences Offenburg

Cesar Landa Arroyo

Professor of Constitutional Law, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú

Ralf Lankau

Prof. Dr. Phil., University of Applied Sciences Offenburg

Francesco Lapenta

Director, Institute of Future and Innovation Studies, John Cabot University

Ronald Leenes

Director, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society

Professor in Regulation by Technology, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society, Tilburg University

Harry Lehmann

President, Factor X Int. Club for Resource Productivity

Lawrence Lessig

Roy L. Furman Professor of Law and Leadership, Harvard Law School

Founder, Center for Internet and Society, Stanford Law School

Feja Lesniewska

Senior Teaching Fellow at SOAS University of London, UCL

Dimitar Lilkov

Senior Research Officer, Wilfried Martens Centre for European Studies

Robert Link

Owner, freesia innovation e.U.

Roberto Lopez-Davila

Office of Court Administration of Puerto Rico

José Luis Hernández

White Bx Project

Edward Madziwa

Human Rights Officer, Zimbabwe Human Rights Commission

Oumayma Majdoub

M&E Associate, American Bar Association Rule of Law Initiative

Michael Mann

Markencoach & Sprecher

Alessandro Mantelero

Associate Professor of Law, Polytechnic University of Turin

Marlies Marheineke

Communications Manager at Schoesslers GmbH

Lisa Markova

Ioannis Matsas

Mechanical Engineer, Advisor to the Minister of Infrastructure and Transport of Greece

Nicolas Miailhe

Founder & President, The Future Society

Wilfried Meißner

Anti-Korruption . Reformation 2014 e.V.

Merve Meryem Turan

Bilgi University

Onyeka Michael

MD/CEO KizzyserviceHub LTD

Cyrine Mighri

Juriste Chercheuse et Responsable Juridique, DSNAA

Trina Mitra

Institute Of Engineering & Management

Sabine Mondié

Senior Researcher, Cinvestav, Mexico

Tobias Mueller

Affiliated Lecturer, POLIS, College Research Associate, King's College

Paul Nemitz

Principal Advisor on Justice Policy, European Commission

Visiting Professor of Law, College of Europe

Axel Neuhaus

Head of Lifecycle Marketing Germany, Volkswagen

Tuan Anh Nguyen

CEO of the Boston Global Forum (BGF)

Director, Michael Dukakis Institute for Leadership and Innovation (MDI)

Co-founder, AI World Society

Virginus Okonkwo

V. I. Okonkwo & Company (Legal Practitioners)

Gizem Okumuş

Juan Pavón

Professor, Universidad Complutense Madrid

Prof. Alex "Sandy" Pentland

Toshiba Professor of Media Arts and Sciences, MIT

José Pérez Plano

Director, Anagnor Consultores

Oreste Pollicino

Full Professor of Constitutional Law, Bocconi University

Edy Portmann

Prof. Dr. Human-IST Institute at University of Fribourg, Switzerland

Ida Pöttinger

Elena Poughia

CEO, Dataconomy Media

Michael Quartararo

President, Association of Certified E-Discovery Specialists (ACEDS)

Stefano Quintarelli

Former MP, Italian Parliament

Chairman, Italian Digital Agency

Chairman, Advisory Group on Advanced Technologies UN/CEFACT

Marc Rotenberg

Executive Director, Center for AI and Digital Policy (CAIDP)

Adjunct Professor, Georgetown Law

Paola Ricaurte

Associate Professor, Department of Media and Digital Culture, Tecnológico de Monterrey, México

Josevata Rotidara

Counsellor, Psychiatric Survivors Association

Vasileios Rovilos

Microsoft (EGA)

Andrea Rumler

Professor, Berlin School of Economics and Law

Stuart Russell

Professor of Computer Science, University of California, Berkeley

Marisa Russo

UNIFESP

Albert Sabater

Associate Professor, University of Girona

Director, Catalan Observatory for Ethics in Artificial Intelligence

Edip Saliba

Azure Skills Lead, Microsoft

Sarjo Sama

Rule of Law, Justice and Security Monitoring and Evaluation Officer, UNDP Gambia

Giovanni Sartor

Professor in Legal Theory and Legal Informatics

CIRSFID-Alma AI, University of Bologna, European University Institute, Law Department

Marietje Schaake

International Policy Director, Stanford Cyber Policy Center

International Policy Fellow, Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence, Stanford University

Former Member, European Parliament

Gabriel C Schmid

Ingrid Schneider

Professor of Political Science, Ethics in Information Technology, Hamburg University

Christian Schnurr

Senior Employee, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) / University of Karlsruhe (TH)

Ruth Seubert

Dipl. Theol., Lehrhaus für Psychologie und Spiritualität

Dr. Tamar Sharon

Associate Professor, Radboud University Nijmegen

Dennis Snower

Professor, Hertie School of Governance, Berlin

Eren Sözüer

Lecturer, Istanbul University Law Shool

Dr. Sarah Spiekermann

Full Professor & Chair, Institute for Information Systems & Society Vienna University of Economics and Business

COO / Managing Partner, PwC Central and Eastern Europe

Michael Benjamin Strecker

Political Advisor on Digital Politics, Social Democratic Party (SPD), Germany

Thomas Streinz

Executive Director, Guarini Global Law & Tech

Adjunct Professor of Law, New York University School of Law

Despina Travlou

Slide2Open Communications

Zoltan Turbek

Co-chair, CoE CAHAI Policy Development Group

Director, Hungarian MInistry of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Antonio Urbina Reyes

Consultant, Law Firm "Strategic Legal Defense"

Silvia Uscov

Managing Partner, USCOV Attorney At Law

Siem Vaessen

Executive Director, Zimmerman B.V.

Jeroen van den Hoven

Professor of Ethics and Technology, Delft University of Technology

Monica Velasco

Atypical Group El Salvador

Carissa Véliz

Associate Professor, University of Oxford

Luis Gabriel Aguilar Virgen

Secretario del Juzgado Sexto de Distrito en el Estado de Veracruz, Mexico

Aleksandra Vukasinovic

Chief of the Cabinet, Constitutional Court of Montenegro

Wendell Wallach

Chair, Technology and Ethics Research Group, Yale Interdisciplinary Center for Bioethics

Andrew Wandera

Amber Solicitors and Advocates

Robert Whitfield

Chair, One World Trust

Christian Wolf

Professor Chair, Civil Law, German, European and International Civil Procedure Leibniz Universität Hannover

Josephine Yam

Skills4Good Al

Roman Yampolskiy

Associate Professor, University of Louisville

Narain Yucel

Student, School of Information, University of Michigan

Shoshana Zuboff

Retired Professor, Harvard University Author, "The Age of Surveillance Capitalism"